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Summary & Update

Published
Overview of Respondents

We received 9 responses from industry that we have analysed and considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System/Technology Provider, 1</th>
<th>Supplier, 1</th>
<th>Industry Association, 3</th>
<th>Balancing and Settlement Representative, 1</th>
<th>Consumer protection party, 1</th>
<th>Multiple role market participant, 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADE Energy UK Centrica ELEXON Renewable UK E.ON AMT Sybex Citizen’s Advice Ovo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trade Associations that have responded cover a wide range of interested parties from a spectrum of perspectives.

All responses are published on the [Public Consultations](#) page.
We have taken a bottom up approach to review and consider comments in detail with appropriate workstreams and products.

Initial review by Project Team
(Mar 20)

Steering Group approval
21 May 20

Workstream and product reviews
(Mar – May 20)

Revised version of PID
Summary slides outlining key messages and next steps
Response to individual comments and how we are taking them forward

Our analysis, key findings and next steps are reflected in the three deliverables above that we will bring to the SG for approval to publish in May.
Key Messages and Priorities

• Support for overall workplan and recognition of commitment to deliver an ambitious body of work.

• Recognition that real improvements have been delivered through the 2019 programme of work and urge project to continue focus on standardisation and providing visibility to industry on progress of implementation.

• Alignment with Ofgem's work on DSO Key Enablers, Ofgem and BEIS's work on SSFP will be key and the project needs to ensure that findings feed into the RIIO2.

• Priority areas flagged were greater standardisation on flexibility, greater transparency on decision making and continued focus on DSO Implementation Plan and Conflicts of Interest.

• A number of useful considerations and points of clarification have been raised and we have responded to them individually.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>WS1A</th>
<th>WS1B</th>
<th>WS2</th>
<th>WS3</th>
<th>WS4</th>
<th>WS5 &amp; Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consideration of CLASS, consumer impacts from ANM, potential conflicts between use of ANM and procuring flexibility; carbon intensity in decision-making.</td>
<td>More transparency in decision-making.</td>
<td>More focus on transparency of system and network data, not just asset data.</td>
<td>Consider boundaries between DNO and DSO functions. Alignment with SSFP, DSO Key Enablers, RIIO2</td>
<td>Stronger zoning approach to heat, energy efficiency and power.</td>
<td>Continued engagement and more updates at forums</td>
<td>Consideration of vulnerable customers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase transparency in decision-making. Link with retail market arrangement reforms. Further standardisation of T&amp;Cs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Support for DSO Implementation Plan</td>
<td>Support for whole system CBA and consider accelerated timelines.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More engagement on Col/UC Risk Register</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Continued focus on implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary of Next Steps

• Taking the feedback on board, we will ensure that we continue to focus on the delivery and implementation of areas that are a high priority for stakeholders.

• We have taken onboard the considerations flagged for the various areas of work within the project and these are now included in the revised version of the PID and we have detailed this in a document with responses to individual comments made by stakeholders.

• We welcome any further feedback at any stage of the project via: Opennetworks@energynetworks.org