

# Manual for the Draft Common Distribution Charging Methodology Model

August 2009



energy**networks**  
association




## Contents

<b>Overview</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>Guidance on asset models</b> .....	<b>6</b>
General costing guidance for asset models.....	6
Network model.....	6
Service models .....	8
<b>Input data tables</b> .....	<b>12</b>
1000. Company name, charging year and model version.....	12
1010. Financial and general assumptions .....	12
1017. Diversity allowance between top and bottom of network level .....	12
1018. Proportion of relevant load going through 132kV/HV direct transformation.....	13
1019. Network model GSP demand (MW) .....	13
1020. Gross asset cost by network level (£).....	13
1022. LV service model asset cost (£) .....	13
1023. HV service model asset cost (£).....	13
1025. Matrix of applicability of LV service models to tariffs with fixed charges.....	13
1026. Matrix of applicability of LV service models to unmetered tariffs.....	13
1028. Matrix of applicability of HV service models to tariffs with fixed charges.....	13
1032. Loss adjustment factors to transmission.....	14
1037. Embedded network (LDNO) discounts .....	14
1041. Load profile data for demand users: coincidence factor; load factor .....	14
1053. Volume forecasts for the charging year .....	15
1055. Transmission exit charges (£/year).....	15
1058. Amount of expenditure to be allocated according to asset values (£/year) .....	15
1060. Customer contributions under current connection charging policy .....	16
1061 and 1062. Average split of units between distribution time band .....	17
1068. Typical annual hours by distribution time band.....	17
1069. Peaking probabilities by network level.....	18
1075. Target revenue.....	18
1092. Average kVAr by kVA, by network level.....	18
1201. Current tariff information.....	18
<b>Calculation sheets</b> .....	<b>19</b>
LAFs — Loss adjustment factors and network use matrices .....	19
DRM — Network model .....	19
SM — Service models.....	20
Loads — Load characteristics .....	21
Multi — Load characteristics for multiple unit rates .....	21
SMD — Forecast simultaneous maximum load.....	22
AMD — Forecast aggregate maximum load.....	23
Otex — Other expenditure .....	24
Contrib — Customer contributions .....	25
Yard — Yardsticks .....	26
Standing — Allocation to standing charges.....	27
NHH — Standing charges as fixed charges .....	27
Reactive — Reactive power unit charges.....	28
Aggreg — Aggregation.....	29
Revenue — Revenue shortfall or surplus.....	29
Scaler — Revenue matching.....	30
Adjust — Tariff component adjustment and rounding.....	30


## Overview

1. This document accompanies a model implementing the draft common distribution charging methodology (CDCM) for electricity distribution networks in Great Britain.
2. Figure 1, reproduced from the draft CDCM methodology statement, shows the main steps in the methodology and model. Figure 2 gives an overview of relationships between the main elements of the model.

**Figure 1 Overview of main steps and data flows**




**Figure 2 Overview of the relationship between elements of the model**




### Sheets

3. Sheets in the workbook have been given very short abbreviated names. This is to make the best use of screen space given the way in which Microsoft Excel displays information. A proper title appears at the top of each sheet.
4. Figures 3 and 4 are maps of the calculation sheets in the model workbook.

**Figure 3 Step 2 sheets in the workbook (data flow from left to right)**



**Figure 4 Step 3 sheets in the workbook (data flow from left to right)**



### Tables

5. Each sheet is structured as a list of data tables, to be read from top to bottom — like a book.
6. Each of these data tables has a four-digit table number. Numbers that start with 10 are manually assigned for input data tables and do not change from version to version. Other table numbers are assigned when the model is built and may vary when the model is changed or different options are selected.

### Hyperlinks in the workbook

7. The tables in the model are listed in the overview sheet, with hyperlinks to the data. Links to the source data for each calculation are shown above each calculation table.
8. The Forward and Back buttons on Microsoft Excel's Web toolbar can be useful when navigating around the workbook using these hyperlinks. For example, to go back to where you were before clicking a hyperlink, use the Back button.
9. To show the Web toolbar in Microsoft Excel 2000–2004, select Web from Toolbars from the View menu (details may vary depending on the Excel version). The Web

toolbar has been removed from Microsoft Excel 2007, but the Back and Forward buttons can be added to the Quick Access Toolbar instead.

10. Links do not work well if the model is displayed within a web browser. To get the full functionality, save the model as a file and open it in Microsoft Excel or compatible software.

#### *Technologies and dependencies*

11. The model is implemented as a stand-alone Microsoft Excel workbook in Excel 1997–2003 file format. No software other than Microsoft Excel 1997 or above (or compatible software) is needed to operate the model.
12. The model relies on standard spreadsheet formulae. It does not use any macros, Visual Basic for Application, pivot tables, solver, goal seek, or array formulae.
13. The workbook is produced by a computer programme in the programming language Perl 5. This programme can be run on a personal computer or as a web service, and can generate versions of the models with different tariffs or allocation rules.

#### *Overview sheet*

14. The overview sheet (Overview) lists the data tables in the workbook.

#### *Input sheet*

15. The input data sheet (Input) contains all the input data for the model.

#### *Tariff sheets*

16. The tariff sheet (Tariffs) summarises the final tariffs.

#### *Additional output sheets*

17. The summary sheet (Summary) gives a copy of the tariffs, average revenue per unit and average revenue per user for each tariff, and a summary of options and assumptions used in the model.
18. Tariff matrices are provided on the M-ATW sheet. Tariff matrices show the tariff components of the final tariffs and their decomposition into the various elements of cost and revenue in a form that can be easily read and printed.
19. The revenue matrix sheet (M-Rev) shows the revenues raised in respect of each end user type and each element of cost or revenue in the model.
20. The comparison sheets (CData and CTables) attempt an indicative comparison between current and proposed all-the-way tariffs, and between all-the-way and LDNO tariffs.
21. All these additional output sheets are for information only. They can be removed or modified without effect on the rest of the calculations.

## **Guidance on asset models**

22. Two types of asset models are used:
  - (a) The network model (also known as DRM or 500 MW model) relates to shared or shareable assets in the network.
  - (b) The service models relate to assets dedicated to a single network user.
23. This section provides guidance on the development of these assets models.

### **General costing guidance for asset models**

24. The unit costs in the model should reflect what it would cost to purchase and install the assets included in the hypothetical incremental network, including building and civil engineering works, in unmade ground.
25. In the case of LV underground cables, one third of excavation costs should be included in unit costs. (This factor comes from an assumption that these costs are shared with gas and water utilities.) No reinstatement costs should be included.
26. Overheads directly related to the construction activity should be included.
27. Land or easement purchase values should not be included. The costs of applying for planning permission or taking part in a public inquiry should not be included. Wayleave rental payments should not be included. Legal and administrative costs incurred in establishing wayleaves should be included.
28. Unit costs for actual or planned asset replacement projects (including any unit costs included in business plan submissions to Ofgem) should be disregarded in pricing the network model if relying on them would conflict with the assumptions above.

### **Network model**

29. The assets in each network level of the model should be sized to be sufficient to supply loads which are representative of the mix of demand for the licensee's area and which, once aggregated and measured at the grid supply point level, have a peak demand of 500 MW.
30. By exception to the rule above, if a 132kV/HV network level is used, then the 132kV/HV network level should be sized to meet loads which, aggregated and measured at the grid supply point level, would make a contribution to peak demand equal to 500 MW times the 132kV/HV proportion. In that case, the 132kV/EHV, EHV and EHV/HV network levels should be sized to loads which, aggregated and measured at the grid supply point level, would make a contribution to peak demand equal to 500 MW times one minus the 132kV/HV proportion.
31. No generation should be assumed in the network model's customer mix.
32. The hypothetical 500 MW network for each network level must be designed as an increment serving loads that have the same topography, diversity and other characteristics as the actual loads on the existing network. In particular, customers' locations and consumption patterns should be representative of reality in the licensee's area. But the hypothetical network used to supply them must reflect current design practice and the assumptions about utilisation detailed below for a

forward-looking hypothetical incremental network: the mix of assets in this hypothetical network need not be similar to that in the licensee's network.

33. The volume and capacity of assets included in the model at each network level should be based on the minimum-cost way of delivering the minimum amount of redundancy required to comply with Engineering Recommendation P2/6. The design must be feasible; asset quantities should be rounded up to accommodate at least the required capacity without using notional fractions of assets. The licensee's own planning guidelines must be ignored insofar as they impose greater security, redundancy or resilience requirements than Engineering Recommendation P2/6.
34. The design of the hypothetical incremental network must not rely on any spare capacity that might exist on current network assets.
35. The hypothetical incremental network must not include any spare capacity to cater for any further growth, even if it would be normal practice of the company to plan for such growth when establishing new networks. Because of this assumption, the utilisation factors in the hypothetical incremental network may be higher than actual utilisation factors in the network. Actual utilisation factors in the network should not be relied upon as the basis for assumptions in the model, although they may be used as a cross-check for the assumptions made.
36. The possibility of using emergency ratings in fault or outage situations should be taken into account in estimating the asset volumes and capacities required in the hypothetical incremental network.
37. For example, a substation with two transformers rated 12/24 MVA (i.e. 12 MVA with passive cooling and 24 MVA with active cooling) is sufficient to meet a maximum load of 24 MVA, since that level of load could be accommodated on a single transformer if the other was out of use (n-1 situation).
38. Similarly, a substation with two transformers rated 90 MVA, who are each considered capable of accommodating an emergency flow of 117 MVA if necessary (30 per cent more than the continuous rating of 90 MVA), is sufficient to meet 117 MVA.
39. In a situation where interconnection is used to provide security, then a more detailed analysis of redundancy requirements should be used. For example, three 132kV/33kV single-transformer 60 MVA with 30 per cent emergency rating substations supplying a 33kV interconnected ring would be considered able to supply an aggregate load of 156 MVA (calculated as twice the emergency flow of 78 MVA).
40. At EHV (including primary substations), no additional capacity should be included in the hypothetical incremental network on account of geographical dispersion of loads; a sufficient range of substation sizes should be included in the model to ensure that this is a reasonable assumption.
41. At HV and LV, the estimated utilisation in the hypothetical incremental network should take account of geographical dispersion of loads and the use of standard-size equipment. A sample of maximum loads from substations on the network may be used to estimate utilisation (as the aggregate maximum load divided by the aggregate of the smallest standard substation size that will accommodate each load in the sample).
42. In the absence of other information, utilisation rates of assets in the network or assumed utilisation rates in recent extensions to the network may be used to inform

the assumptions made. Such evidence must not be used if doing so would conflict with the requirement not to include additional capacity to cater for future growth.

43. Circuit lengths in the hypothetical incremental network depend on the geographical distribution of loads and on the geographical distribution of substations. Data on circuit lengths from the actual network may be used to inform these assumptions, provided that appropriate adjustments are made for any differences in the type of substations in the hypothetical incremental network compared to the actual network. Circuit sizes must be taken to be the lowest relevant standard size that will deliver the required capacity.

### **Service models**

44. The assets included in service models are the assets that would be constructed under the licensee's current engineering practices to establish a connection with a customer, excluding assets that are included in the 500 MW network model.
45. Shared, shareable or potentially shareable assets should be included in the network model. Only sole-use assets that are not shareable or potentially shareable are therefore permitted in service models.
46. Different customers might require different service assets. Averaging is required to produce generic figures for use in setting use of system tariffs.
47. The remainder of this section outlines the service model for each tariff.

#### *HV Medium Non-Domestic*

48. This is a non-half-hourly metered customer, i.e. less than 100 kW. This will typically be a legacy site in a remote area, a site which is no longer operating at the capacity for which it was designed, or a generator's own demand.
49. In order to avoid undue discrimination between generators on the basis of whether their station demand is half hourly settled or not, this should use the same service models as for the HV HH Metered tariff.

#### *HV HH Metered*

50. The cost should cover the ring main unit (including installation and jointing) but none of the HV feeder, cable or line costs — all of the HV lines are treated as part of the HV network and not as service model assets.
51. Different companies may use different grades of ring-main units for their typical HV customers (e.g. fuse-based v circuit breakers), so there may be large but legitimate differences in cost between companies.

#### *HV Sub HH Metered*

52. The cost should cover the installation and jointing of a suitable circuit breaker within the primary substation, excluding any costs of expanding the primary substation building. No EHV or transformation costs should be included.
53. Only short lengths of cable within the substation can be included — any HV line going outside the boundary of the substation is a potentially shareable asset and is treated as part of the HV network — therefore not as a service model asset.

54. The above reflects the rule that a customer connected to a primary substation through a HV cable owned by the DNO that goes beyond the boundary of the primary substation is a HV network customer, not a HV substation customer.

#### *HV Generation Intermittent / HV Generation Non-Intermittent*

55. These service models should reflect the additional cost of protection equipment for a typical generator in each category, for example the difference in cost between a fuse and a circuit breaker, or the costs of additional telecommunications equipment that would be generally required for an HV generation connection.

#### *Domestic Unrestricted*

56. The supply is a single-phase LV supply to a domestic customer.
57. The model is priced on the hypothetical basis that services are being built or re-built for a whole street as a single planned project — not an ad hoc replacement for one isolated user.
58. The cost of creating a joint between the LV mains and the LV service cable is included in the service model, as are all costs associated with service cables and with any distribution boards. No costs associated with the LV mains are included in the service model.
59. Because of the requirement to cost the service model on the basis of a single planned project, the model will normally include several connections. The number of connections in the model is reflected through table 1025 “matrix of applicability”. The percentage figure in that table is the proportion of the cost of the service model that is attributable to each customer. For example, if the model is for a scheme to connect 20 houses, then the percentage is 5 per cent.
60. Table 1025 also allows several service models to be averaged. For example, if 10 per cent of houses are in rural areas where the typical set-up is a pole serving five houses, and 90 per cent of houses are in urban areas where the typical set-up is a length of mains serving 20 houses, then the service model costs should be entered as the cost of the five-house rural scheme and the cost of the 20-house urban scheme, and table 1025 should have, in the domestic unrestricted row, 2% (=10%/5) against the rural scheme and 4.5% (=90%/20) against the urban scheme.

#### *Domestic Two Rate*

61. The supply should reflect the way in which Domestic Two Rate customers are supplied in the relevant GSP Group. This will usually be the same as for Domestic Unrestricted, although in some areas it might include three-phase supplies instead of single-phase supplies.
62. The other guidance under Domestic Unrestricted applies to Domestic Two Rate.

#### *Domestic Off Peak (related MPAN)*

63. No service model data should be entered for the Domestic Off Peak (related MPAN) tariff if the same service cable would be used irrespective of whether there is a related MPAN or not.

64. If, in a particular GSP Group, there is a strong association between having a three-phase supply and having a related MPAN, then it might be appropriate to treat the additional cost of a three-phase supply over a single-phase supply as a service model for the Domestic Off Peak (related MPAN) tariff.

*Small Non Domestic Unrestricted*

65. This service model relates to the building a new typical supply for a profile class 3 customer.
66. Only cables on or very near the customer's land should be included in the service model. Any longer cables installed to connect to an existing mains are potentially shareable.

*Small Non Domestic Two Rate*

67. This service model relates to the building a new typical supply for a profile class 4 customer. This will often be the same as for Small Non Domestic Unrestricted.

*Small Non Domestic Off Peak (related MPAN)*

68. The guidance given under Domestic Off Peak (related MPAN) applies to service models for this tariff.

*LV Medium Non-Domestic*

69. This service model relates to the building of a new typical supply for a profile class 5–8 customer.
70. As the customer will be non half hourly metered, the capacity of the connection need not exceed 100 kW.
71. Provision for current transformers used for metering purposes should be included in the service model. No metering costs should be included — these relate to the metering activity and not the distribution activity.
72. Only cables on or very near the customer's land should be included in the service model. Any longer cables installed to connect to an existing mains are potentially shareable and therefore part of the network model.

*LV Sub Medium Non-Domestic*

73. The typical customer for this tariff (which must be under 100 kW as it is non half hourly metered) is a legacy site in a remote area or a site which is no longer operating at the capacity for which it was designed.
74. The guidance under LV Sub HH Metered applies to this service model.

*LV HH Metered*

75. This service model relates to the building of a new typical supply for half hourly metered customer, typically over 100 kW.
76. Provision for current transformers used for metering purposes should be included in the service model. No metering costs should be included — these relate to the metering activity and not the distribution activity.

77. Only cables on or very near the customer's land should be included in the service model. Any longer cables installed to connect to an existing mains are potentially shareable and therefore part of the network model.

*LV Sub HH Metered*

78. This service model relates to the building of a new typical supply for a customer with a dedicated substation, not including any HV/LV transformation costs or any HV costs. (A charge for the average cost of HV/LV transformation will be made through the 500 MW model, so that including the transformer in the service model would amount to double-counting.)
79. If the metering breaker is typically separate from the substation, then the costs are for that breaker and the short cable between that breaker and the substation, plus jointing.
80. It would not be surprising for the cost to be less than for LV HH Metered, even though the capacity will be higher.
81. The above reflects the rule that a customer connected to a substation (whether dedicated or shared) through a LV cable owned by the DNO that goes beyond the boundary of the HV/LV substation is a LV network customer, not a LV substation customer.

*LV Generation (NHH / Intermittent / Non-Intermittent)*

82. These service models should reflect the additional cost of protection equipment for a typical generator in each category, for example the difference in cost between a fuse and a circuit breaker.
83. There is almost certainly no service model for LV Generation NHH: such small generating units would not be expected to require special protection.

*NHH UMS / LV UMS (Pseudo HH Metered)*

84. What should be costed is a scheme for building and jointing service cables for a typical street lighting configuration.
85. The percentages in the matrix of applicability are based on 1 MWh/year. For example, if the scheme connects columns with, in total, 10 lamps of 70 W each, burning for 8 hours a day on average, then the total annual consumption of the scheme is  $10 \times 70W \times 8h \times 365 = 2 \text{ MWh}$ , and the figure in the relevant cell of table 1026 is 50%.

## **Input data tables**

86. This section reviews each of the tables in the input data sheet, providing guidance on data definitions and possible data sources wherever possible.
87. Tables in the Input sheet appear in the order in which they are used in calculations. They are numbered in an order that reflects the historical development of the model. Neither order is particularly logical. This section follows the order in which tables appear on the input data sheet in the version of the model current at the time of writing.

### **1000. Company name, charging year and model version**

88. The text entered in table 1000 will be reproduced at the top of each sheet.

### **1010. Financial and general assumptions**

89. This table contains the following assumptions:
- (a) The rate of return to be used to calculate annuity factors.
  - (b) The annualisation period to be used to calculate annuity factors.
  - (c) The proportion of the full annuity that should be included in prices in respect of assets which are deemed to be covered by customer contributions.
  - (d) The power factor assumed in the network model.
  - (e) The number of days in the charging year.
90. The first four parameters are specified on the face of the methodology statement.

### **1017. Diversity allowance between top and bottom of network level**

91. Up to four figures are to be entered in this table. They are in the rows labelled "GSP", "132kV", "EHV" and "HV". The other cells in this table should be left blank or set to zero. In Scotland, the "132kV" figure should also be left blank or set to zero.
92. Each figure is a diversity allowance expressed as a percentage. It represents the extent to which aggregate maximum load across a set of substation sites exceeds the maximum of the total flow through these sites.
93. These diversity allowances are expressed as percentages, relative to a value of zero to indicate no diversity. The diversity allowance is the extent to which some aggregate maximum load exceeds the corresponding maximum of the aggregate load.
94. The figure in the "GSP" row relates to diversity between the GSP Group and individual grid supply points.
95. The figure in the "132kV" row (in England and Wales only) relates to diversity between a grid supply point and the 132kV/EHV bulk supply points under it. It is labelled "132kV" because it is the diversity between the top and the bottom of the 132kV network.

96. The figure in the “EHV” row relates to diversity between a 132kV/EHV bulk supply point (or grid supply point in Scotland) and the EHV/HV primary substations under it.
97. The figure in the “HV” row relates to diversity between a EHV/HV primary substation and the HV/LV substations under it.
98. These diversity allowances should be entered in a non-cumulative basis. The model cumulates them as necessary (see the DRM sheet).
99. Insofar as the network topology and substation mix of the 500 MW model differs from the network topology and substation mix of the actual network, diversity allowances relate to the 500 MW model.

**1018. Proportion of relevant load going through 132kV/HV direct transformation**

100. The single cell in this table contains the percentage of the loads at HV, LV Sub or LV load which is supplied through direct 132kV/HV transformation. Leaving this cell blank signifies that 132kV/HV is not used in the 500 MW model.

**1019. Network model GSP demand (MW)**

101. The single cell in this table should be set to the figure of 500, corresponding to the 500 MW specified in the methodology statement.

**1020. Gross asset cost by network level (£)**

102. The figure to be entered in each cell is the cost of the assets at that level in the 500 MW network model, in £.
103. See the asset models guidance above for more information.

**1022. LV service model asset cost (£)**

104. This table gives asset costs for each LV service model.

**1023. HV service model asset cost (£)**

105. This table gives gross asset replacement costs for each HV service model.

**1025. Matrix of applicability of LV service models to tariffs with fixed charges**

106. This table gives, for each tariff which might have LV sole use assets and includes a charge per MPAN, the proportion of each service model that needs to be included to calculate the average value of sole use assets for one MPAN on this tariff.

**1026. Matrix of applicability of LV service models to unmetered tariffs**

107. This table gives, for each LV unmetered tariff, the proportion of each service model that needs to be included to calculate the average value of sole use assets for a load amounting to 1 MWh a year on this tariff.

**1028. Matrix of applicability of HV service models to tariffs with fixed charges**

108. This table gives, for each tariff which might have HV sole use assets, the proportion of each service model that needs to be included to calculate the average value of sole use assets for one MPAN on this tariff.

### **1032. Loss adjustment factors to transmission**

- 109. This table contains the loss adjustment factors needed to adjust meter readings at each network level up to transmission.
- 110. A single loss adjustment factor is used for each network level, even if the loss adjustment factors used in settlement vary by season or time of day, or if different loss adjustment factors are set for customers at the same network level.
- 111. Loss adjustment factors should reflect, as far as practicable, average losses from all sources (including commercial losses) at the time of distribution system peak.

### **1037. Embedded network (LDNO) discounts**

- 112. These percentages represent the proportion of all-the-way charges that should be applied to LDNO tariffs for each combination of the boundary network level and end user network level.
- 113. The values for this table should be sourced from the separate price control disaggregation model.

### **1041. Load profile data for demand users: coincidence factor; load factor**

- 114. A load factor represents the average load of a user or user group, relative to the maximum load level of that user or user group. Load factors are numbers between 0 and 1.
- 115. A coincidence factor represents the expectation value of the load of a user or user group at the time of system maximum load, relative to the maximum load level of that user or user group. Coincidence factors are numbers between 0 and 1.
- 116. Analysis of load data for a recent year can be used to provide these data.
- 117. The following raw data are required:
  - (a) Half hourly GSP Group take (based on CDCA-I029 or CDCA-I030 data flows under the BSC, depending on the licensee's analysis of whether embedded BM Units affect the time properly described as network peak on its network).
  - (b) Half hourly consumption for each half hourly demand customer class (aggregated from the D0036 or D0275 data flows).
  - (c) Half hourly allocation of consumption for each combination of non half hourly demand customer class and unit rates (e.g. for domestic unrestricted, for the day rate of the domestic two rates tariff, for the night rate of the domestic two rates tariff, etc.). This is an aggregate of SPX data from the D0030 data flows.
  - (d) Half hourly GSP Group correction factor (available from the ELEXON website).
- 118. GSP group take data are needed to determine distribution time bands and to determine the time of system peak for the calculation of coincidence factors.
- 119. The D0030 has one VMR dataset for each PC/LLFC/SSC/TPR combination. The data required for load data analysis are the SPX data (profiled consumption) within that VMR dataset.

120. The following procedure is suggested for aggregating data into the relevant form:
- (a) Map each supported PC/LLFC/SSC/TPR combination to a tariff/rate combination.
  - (b) Aggregate SPX data by tariff/rate combination into a 366\*50 matrix.
  - (c) If using a de-linked or defaulting billing method, then produce a separate aggregate of SPX data for unsupported SSCs into a 366\*50 matrix for each DUoS tariff, split that dataset into the different rates using the time bands specified in the charging statement, and aggregate the result with the rest of the data from (b) above.
121. In the case of tariffs billed on a de-linked basis, the 366\*50 matrix aggregated by tariff on the same way as the defaulted data from (c) above should be used instead of the calculations at (a) and (b) above.
122. In the case of unmetered supplies, a single load factor and a single coincidence factor should be calculated to cover all unmetered supplies, and these figures should be used for both the NHH UMS and LV UMS (Pseudo HH Metered) tariffs.

**1053. Volume forecasts for the charging year**

123. Volume forecasts should not include any volumes for which the charges are excluded service revenues for price control purposes, e.g. capacities subject to standby availability charges.
124. Forecasts are needed for all IDNO portfolio tariffs. If the volumes involved are small, then these cells can be left blank without adverse effect on the calculations, other than an inaccuracy in matching target revenue.

**1055. Transmission exit charges (£/year)**

125. Transmission exit charges should be taken from a forecast by reference to relevant transmission tariff and price control information.
126. Historical figures for transmission exit charges are in table 2.6 of the RRP (2008 layout). Historical data should only be used for comparison purposes.

**1058. Amount of expenditure to be allocated according to asset values (£/year)**

127. This table is for amount of expenditure to be allocated according to asset values in the model.
128. The amount of expenditure to be allocated according to asset values in the model is a forecast for the charging year of the sum of:
- (a) 100 per cent of direct operating costs (including statutory depreciation on non-operational assets related to direct activities).
  - (b) 60 per cent of indirect operating costs (including statutory depreciation on non-operational assets related to indirect activities).
  - (c) 100 per cent of network rates.

129. No transmission exit charges should be included in the amount of expenditure to be allocated according to asset values in the model.
130. The concepts of direct and indirect costs are the same as in the RRP.
131. Forecasts of direct and indirect costs may be based on historical expenditure data combined with the licensee's estimate of trends in operating expenditure (e.g. taking account of input price movements and productivity gains).
132. Historical values for relevant direct and indirect costs can be obtained from the 2007/2008 edition of the RRP as follows:
  - (a) Direct operating costs (including some statutory depreciation on non-operational assets) are in table 2.2 cells L184 and M184, except for direct operating costs on faults which are in table 2.3 cells I38 and K38. Any statutory depreciation on non-operational assets related to the faults activity entered in table 2.2 cell K166 is to be apportioned between faults operating and capital expenditure.
  - (b) Indirect operating costs (including some statutory depreciation on non-operational assets) are in table 2.2 cell AD184. Depending on how the data has been reported, some or all of table 2.2 cell J166 might need to be added to capture all statutory depreciation on non-operational assets.
133. Historical information about network rates and transmission exit charges is in table 1.3 of the 2007/2008 edition of the RRP (columns AG and AH).

**1060. Customer contributions under current connection charging policy**

134. This table provides, for each combination of user network level and asset network level, the proportion of assets that are deemed to be covered by customer contributions under the current connection charging policy.
135. Further information on the determination of these proportions is provided in the guidance section of this document.
136. In order to determine the customer contribution percentages, the following data need to be sourced for a representative selection of network investment schemes:
  - (a) For each network level of supply (S) and each network level of assets (A), the amount of capital expenditure on new assets (excluding any asset replacement) at network level A which are required for new connections for users supplied at network level S. This customer-specific investment is denoted  $CSI_{SA}$ .
  - (b) For each network level of supply (S) and each network level of assets (A), the amount of capital expenditure included with  $CSI_{SA}$  which, under the charging year's connection charging policy, would be chargeable as part of the connection charge to the relevant users. This customer contribution is denoted  $CC_{SA}$ .
  - (c) For each network level of assets (A), the amount of capital expenditure on new assets (excluding any asset replacement) at network level A which are required for general reinforcement and are not included in  $CSI_{SA}$  for any customers. This general reinforcement investment is denoted  $GRI_A$ .

137. These data might be obtained by drilling down the information provided in tables LR1 and LR4 of the forecast business plan questionnaire provided to Ofgem in connection with price control reviews: in that case, the representative sample would comprise all schemes included in the part of the questionnaire used. Data should be aggregated over several years to reduce the influence of fluctuations; unless there are good reasons to do otherwise, the aggregate of actual data from 2005/2006 to 2008/2009 (inclusive) should be used.
138. If these data are not available, a direct analysis of planned schemes and/or a survey of recent investment schemes will be needed.
139. A general reinforcement uplift factor is defined for each network level of assets (A) by the following formula:

$$GRUF_A = 1 + GRI_A / \sum_S CSI_{SA}$$

where  $\sum_S$  denotes summation over all applicable network levels of supply (S)

140. The contribution proportion corresponding to network level of supply S and network level of assets A is determined by the following formula:

$$CCP_{SA} = CC_{SA} / (CSI_{SA} * GRUF_A)$$

141. This formula provides the data for entry into table 1060.
142. If data are not available separately for all network levels of supply (e.g. if the available data do not distinguish between LV network and LV substation) then calculations can be done by using a classification of customers into fewer categories.

#### **1061 and 1062. Average split of units between distribution time band**

143. In each table, each line relates to a different user type and tariff structure. For each user type and tariff structure, the figure entered against each of the time bands used for network analysis is the proportion of the units recorded on the relevant TPR or within the relevant charging period that would fall with each time band.
144. Each of these tables relates to a different TPR (for linked tariffs) or charging period (for de-linked tariffs). Only tariffs which have at least the relevant number of unit rates and which use TPRs or charging periods that may differ from the distribution time bands are included in each table.
145. These data will need to be extracted from an analysis of load profiles or load data, and on information about distribution time band switching times to be determined by the licensee.
146. The load data sources described under table 1041 can be used for this purpose. However, GSP Group Correction Factors should not be applied to data used to populate tables 1061 and 1062.

#### **1068. Typical annual hours by distribution time band**

147. For each time band used for network cost analysis, this table provides the number of hours that fall within that time band in a year

148. If the figures entered are inconsistent with the number of days in the charging year then the model will rescale the annual hours by distribution time bands. This is the only case in which user input data is sanitised (this is so that leap years are correctly dealt with without needing to change the data in table 1068).

#### **1069. Peaking probabilities by network level**

149. For network levels included in the network model, the peaking probability is the proportion of assets at that level that have their time of maximum load during each distribution time band.
150. The three numbers entered in each row should add up to 100 per cent.
151. These data should be obtained from an analysis of substation meter data underpinning the long term development statement. Where data permit, the proportions should be weighed by peak load (MVA).
152. Unless data specific to circuits are available, the figures for 132kV circuits should reflect data for the 132kV/EHV transformation level (England and Wales only), and the figures for EHV circuits should reflect data for the EHV/HV transformation level.
153. The figures for HV and LV circuits and the HV/LV transformation should reflect data for HV feeders or for the HV/LV transformation level if available. Otherwise data for the EHV/HV level are used at these levels.

#### **1075. Target revenue**

154. This table provides the amount of revenue to be recovered from charges (net of generation credits).
155. Insofar as some tariffs are calculated outside the CDCM model (e.g. for EHV users), the forecast revenue from these charges should be entered in the relevant cell insofar as they are not excluded revenue for price control purposes.

#### **1092. Average kVAr by kVA, by network level**

156. This table contains the average value of  $\text{SQRT}(1-\text{PF}^2)$  (where PF stands for power factor), or of the absolute value of the reactive power flow divided by the total kVA, for network assets at different network levels.
157. These data need to be extracted from an analysis of similar data as peaking probabilities. Data availability permitting, the value of  $\text{SQRT}(1-\text{PF}^2)$  should be calculated at the time of each substation's peak, and the averaging of these values should be weighed by reactive flow (MVar) at the time of substation peak.
158. Where data are not available for a network level, data nearest network level at which they are available are used as a proxy.

#### **1201. Current tariff information**

159. This table allows the entry of information about current tariffs, for comparison purposes. If the first column (total revenue) is populated with a positive value then the other data (tariff components or p/kWh averages) are ignored.

## Calculation sheets

### LAFs — Loss adjustment factors and network use matrices

160. This sheet combines data about line loss factor and network use in order to produce a matrix of line loss factors scaled by network use, as outlined in table 2.
161. The resulting matrix indicates the extent to which costs at each network level are to be charged to each type of network user.

**Table 1 Loss adjustment factors and network use matrices (LAFs) calculations**

---

<b>2001</b>	<b>Loss adjustment factors to transmission</b>
	This table maps each tariff to the network level corresponding to the relevant type of end user and extracts the corresponding loss adjustment factor to transmission.
<b>2002</b>	<b>Additional loss adjustment to calculate unit charges</b>
	This table maps each tariff to the network level corresponding to the relevant location for calculating flows subject to use of system charges (i.e. the boundary point in the case of LDNO tariffs), and calculates the additional loss adjustment element used in the Adjust sheet to adjust unit rates on these tariffs.
<b>2003</b>	<b>Loss adjustment factor to transmission for each network level</b>
	This table copies the loss adjustment factors provided as input data, adding a figure of 1 for the GSP network level for completeness.
<b>2004</b>	<b>Network use factors</b>
	This matrix shows which network levels would be chargeable to which tariffs if 132kV/HV direct transformation was not used.
<b>2006– 2010</b>	<b>Proportion going through 132kV/EHV, EHV, HV/HV; Rerouting matrix for all network levels; Network use factors including 132kV/HV (except for HV Sub)</b>
	These intermediate tables are used to calculate the extent to which costs for 132kV/EHV, EHV, EHV/HV and/or 132HV/HV are attributable to each tariff.
<b>2011</b>	<b>Network use factors including 132kV/HV</b>
	This matrix shows which network levels are chargeable to which tariffs, taking account of 132kV/HV.
<b>2012</b>	<b>Loss adjustment factors between end user meter reading and each network level, scaled by network use</b>
	This matrix combines network use factors and loss adjustment factors to enable the allocation of charges for each network level to each tariff.

---

### DRM — Network model

162. This sheet derives unit costs from the 500 MW network model, as outlined in table 2.

**Table 2 Network model (DRM) calculations**

---

<b>2101</b>	<b>Annuity rate</b>	This calculates the annuity rate to be used throughout the model.
<b>2102– 2103</b>	<b>Loss adjustment factors to transmission for each core level; loss adjustment factors</b>	These two tables are used to reshape the loss adjustment factor table provided as input data.
<b>2104</b>	<b>Diversity calculations</b>	This cumulates the non-cumulative diversity allowances entered as input data.
<b>2105– 2108</b>	<b>Network model total maximum demand at substation (MW); Network model contribution to system maximum load measured at network level exit (MW); Rerouting matrix for DRM network levels; GSP simultaneous maximum load assumed through each network level (MW)</b>	These tables estimate the simultaneous maximum load for each network level which the 500 MW model is designed to accommodate.
<b>2109</b>	<b>Network model annuity by simultaneous maximum load for each network level (£/kW/year)</b>	This converts asset costs into unit annual costs (£/kW/year) based on system simultaneous maximum load at each network level.

---

**SM — Service models**

163. Table 3 outlines the calculations performed on service model data in the SM sheet.

**Table 3 Service models (SM) calculations**

---

<b>2201</b>	<b>Asset £/customer from LV service models</b>	This calculates the average asset values by tariff from LV service models.
<b>2202</b>	<b>Asset £/(MWh/year) from LV service models</b>	This calculates the average asset values from LV service models by annual MWh for unmetered tariffs.
<b>2203</b>	<b>Service model asset p/kWh charge for tariffs without a fixed charge</b>	This calculates the unit rate uplift needed to recover an LV service model replacement annuity in the case of unmetered tariffs.
<b>2204</b>	<b>Asset £/customer from HV service models</b>	This calculates the average asset values by tariff from HV service models.
<b>2205</b>	<b>Service model assets by tariff (£)</b>	This collects data on service model asset values per customer for both HV and LV tariffs (excluding unmetered tariffs).

---

**Table 3 Service models (SM) calculations**

---

**2206 Replacement annuities for service models**

This converts asset values per MPAN into replacement annuity charges (p/MPAN/day). All these values are zero if replacement costs for customer-contributed assets are not included in the model.

---

**Loads — Load characteristics**

164. This sheet collects and combines information about load characteristics of different categories of network users.
165. The main output is a load coefficient for each tariff, which is set to –1 for generation tariffs, and calculated as the ratio of the coincidence factor to the load factor for demand tariffs.

**Table 4 Load characteristics (Loads) calculations**

---

**2301 Demand coefficient (load at time of system maximum load divided by average load)**

This calculates demand coefficients for demand users.

**2302 Load coefficient**

This combines the calculated demand coefficients with assumed load coefficients of –1 for generation.

**2303 Discount map**

This maps each tariff on the relevant LDNO discount percentage.

**2304 LDNO discounts and volumes adjusted for discount**

This calculates notional volumes adjusted for LDNO discounts, which are used throughout the modelling of all-the-way charges.

**2304 Equivalent volume for each end user**

This aggregates the adjusted volume forecasts by end user type.

---

**Multi — Load characteristics for multiple unit rates**

166. This sheet uses peaking probabilities and data on load patterns in order to calculate p/kWh charges by time period.
167. The calculation uses distribution time bands. These do not need to be the same as the charging time periods. There are up to three distribution time bands (this can be changed at model build time).

**Table 5 Load characteristics for multiple unit rates (Multi) calculations**

---

<b>2401</b>	<b>Adjust annual hours by distribution time band to match days in year</b>
	This adjusts the number of annual hours by distribution time band provided on the Input sheet to ensure that it agrees with the number of days in the charging year.
<b>2402– 2406</b>	<b>Split of rate 1–3 units between distribution time bands</b>
	These tables combine user input for TPR-based tariffs with constant values for tariffs based on distribution time bands. Values are normalised to add up to 100 per cent.
<b>2407</b>	<b>All units (MWh)</b>
	This calculates the total number of units for each tariff.
<b>2408– 2409</b>	<b>Calculation of implied load coefficients for two/three-rate users</b>
	These tables calculate implied load coefficients from the distribution of units between time bands, if there were no correlation between user and system peaking within each distribution time band. This assumes that the time of system peak is always in the red time band.
<b>2410</b>	<b>Calculation of adjusted time band load coefficients</b>
	This calculates the factor that needs to be applied to reconcile implied load coefficients (from above) with actual load coefficients (from the Loads sheet).
<b>2411– 2412</b>	<b>Normalisation of peaking probabilities; peaking probabilities by network level (reshaped)</b>
	This table copies the peaking probability data provided by the user, normalising the probabilities so that they add up to 100 per cent where they should, and reshaping it to match the structure of the calculations on the Multi sheet.
<b>2413</b>	<b>Pseudo load coefficient by time band and network level</b>
	This is what would be used instead of the coincidence factor to load factor ratio to calculate contributions of each network level to charges within each distribution time band.
<b>2414– 2416</b>	<b>Unit rate 1–3 pseudo load coefficient by network level</b>
	This is what needs to be used instead of the coincidence factor to load factor ratio to calculate each unit rate.

---

**SMD — Forecast simultaneous maximum load**

168. This sheet uses demand forecasts and load characteristics to forecast system simultaneous maximum load at each network level.

**Table 6 Forecast simultaneous maximum load (SMD) calculations**

---

<b>2501– 2503</b>	<b>Contributions of users on one/two/three-rate multi tariffs to system simultaneous maximum load by network level (kW)</b>  This performs a similar calculation as in table 2501 but taking account of information by rate or by distribution time band for tariffs where such information exists.
<b>2504</b>	<b>Estimated contributions of users on each tariff to system simultaneous maximum load by network level (kW)</b>  This combines load characteristic data, volume forecast data and network use factors to determine the contribution of each tariff to system simultaneous maximum load, disregarding any time band information.
<b>2505</b>	<b>Contributions of users on each tariff to system simultaneous maximum load by network level (kW)</b>  This combines tables 2501 to 2504, giving an estimated contribution to system simultaneous maximum load for each tariff that matches the basis (single rate or multiple rates) on which charges for that tariff are calculated.
<b>2506</b>	<b>Forecast system simultaneous maximum load (kW) from forecast units</b>  This aggregates the data in table 2505 so as to give forecasts of system simultaneous maximum load for each network level.

---

**AMD — Forecast aggregate maximum load**

169. This sheet compiles information on aggregate maximum loads derived from the volume forecast, and makes some diversity-related adjustments.

**Table 7 Forecast aggregate maximum load (AMD) calculations**

---

<b>2601– 2602</b>	<b>Pre-processing of data for standing charge factors; standing charges factors adapted to use 132kV/HV</b>  These factors show, for each tariff and each network level, the extent to which costs are to be recovered through fixed or availability charges rather than unit rates.
<b>2603</b>	<b>Capacity-based contributions to chargeable aggregate maximum load by network level (kW)</b>  This collects information about contributions to aggregate maximum load for users with agreed import capacities.
<b>2604</b>	<b>Unit-based contributions to chargeable aggregate maximum load (kW)</b>  This combines load characteristic data, volume forecast data and network use factors to estimate the contribution of each tariff group to aggregate maximum load.

---

**Table 7 Forecast aggregate maximum load (AMD) calculations**

---

<b>2605</b>	<b>Contributions to aggregate maximum load by network level (kW)</b> This combines data from table 2603 and 2604.
<b>2606</b>	<b>Forecast chargeable aggregate maximum load (kW)</b> This aggregates table 2605 by network level.
<b>2607</b>	<b>Forecast simultaneous load subject to standing charge factors (kW)</b> This applies the standing charge factors to system simultaneous maximum load estimates from the SMD sheet. This is to identify the extent to which unit charges will be replaced with capacity and fixed charges, so as to adjust the operating expenditure allocation in line with the exact charging rule used.
<b>2608</b>	<b>Forecast simultaneous load replaced by standing charge (kW)</b> This aggregates table 2607 by network level.
<b>2609</b>	<b>Calculated LV diversity allowance</b> This estimates the figure equivalent to a diversity allowance that needs to be used between HV/LV transformation and LV end users in order to set capacity or fixed charges for LV circuits which reflect the same underlying costs as those included in unit charges.
<b>2610– 2612</b>	<b>Network level mapping for diversity allowances; diversity allowances including 132kV/HV; diversity allowances (including calculated LV value)</b> This combines the calculated LV diversity allowance with input data for diversity allowances at other network levels.
<b>2613</b>	<b>Forecast simultaneous maximum load (kW) adjusted for standing charges</b> This adjusts the system simultaneous maximum load estimate to the extent necessary to ensure that the operating expenditure recovered through capacity charges will match the amount allocated on the basis of system simultaneous maximum load. The need for this adjustment arises from possible differences between the overall load factor implied by the diversity allowances and the tariff-specific load factors provided as input data. There is no adjustment in respect of the LV circuits level since the diversity allowance is set to a figure calculated using the tariff-specific load factors provided as input data.

---

**Otex — Other expenditure**

170. This sheet allocates operating expenditure between network levels.

**Table 8 Other expenditure (Otex) calculations**

---

<b>2701</b>	<b>Operating expenditure coded by network level (£/year)</b> This collects information about transmission exit charges — the only element of operating expenditure that is to be forecast by network level.
-------------	--

---

**Table 8 Other expenditure (Otex) calculations**

---

<b>2702</b>	<b>Network model assets (£) scaled by load forecast</b> This combines a forecast simultaneous maximum load at each network level with the network model costs, in order to create a set of notional asset values for each network level.
<b>2703</b>	<b>Annual consumption by tariff for unmetered users (MWh)</b> This collects information about forecast consumption of unmetered users in order to size the corresponding service models.
<b>2704</b>	<b>Service model asset data</b> This calculates the asset values of service model assets, based on the volume forecast (number of MPANs and consumption on unmetered tariffs).
<b>2705</b>	<b>Data for allocation of operating expenditure</b> This combines network model asset data and service model asset data.
<b>2707</b>	<b>Total operating expenditure by network level (£/year)</b> This allocates the unallocated operating expenditure from table 1057 in the proportions given by the data for allocation of operating expenditure, and combines these data with the operating expenditure coded by network level.
<b>2708</b>	<b>Operating expenditure percentage by network level</b> This calculates the ratio of annual operating expenditure to asset gross replacement cost for each network level.
<b>2709</b>	<b>Unit operating expenditure based on simultaneous maximum load (£/kW/year)</b> This converts the total operating expenditure into a unit operating expenditure figure by dividing it by the forecast system simultaneous maximum load at each network level.
<b>2710</b>	<b>Operating expenditure for customer assets p/MPAN/day</b> This allocates operating expenditure on service model assets to tariffs with fixed charges.
<b>2711</b>	<b>Operating expenditure for unmetered customer assets (p/kWh)</b> This allocates operating expenditure on service model assets to tariffs without fixed charges.

---

**Contrib — Customer contributions**

171. This sheet compiles matrices of customer contribution data for each tariff and network level combination.

**Table 9 Customer contributions (Contrib) calculations**

---

<b>2801</b>	<b>Network level of supply (for customer contributions) by tariff</b> This matrix maps each tariff to the level of supply to which it belongs, for the purpose of estimate the relevant customer contribution percentages.
<b>2802</b>	<b>Contribution proportion by network level of supply and network level of assets (proportion of assets annuities deemed to be covered by customer contributions)</b> This calculates the proportion of the annuity that is chargeable, taking account of the fact that a lower (replacement-only) annuity is required where assets are fully contributed.
<b>2803</b>	<b>Contribution proportion by tariff and network level (proportion of assets annuities deemed to be covered by customer contributions)</b> This uses the table 2801 mapping to allocate the contribution proportions from table 2802 to each tariff.
<b>2804</b>	<b>Proportion of annual charge covered by contributions (for all charging levels)</b> This extends the matrix with a set of zero figures for operating expenditure, so that it covers all levels at which charges can be applied.

---

#### **Yard — Yardsticks**

172. The yardstick calculations allocate network model costs (£/kW simultaneous maximum load) to p/kWh yardsticks for each customer class, without allowing for any capacity or fixed charges to cover the same costs.
173. A single yardstick unit rate is calculated for all tariffs, taking no account of time-of-day patterns or of the lower level of diversity on local networks. That calculation is done on the basis of all-year averages and applying the single coincidence factor to system peak in respect of all network levels.
174. This sheet also calculates unit rates for multi-rate tariffs.
175. The same set of formulae covers both demand and generation end users since the load coefficient has been set on the Loads sheet as -1 for generation users and the ratio of the coincidence factor to the load factor for demand users.

**Table 10 Yardsticks (Yard) calculations**

---

<b>2901</b>	<b>Costs at all levels £/kW/year (system simultaneous maximum load)</b> This compiles all the £/kW/year cost information, where unit costs are based on system simultaneous maximum load.
<b>2902</b>	<b>Pay-as-you-go yardstick unit rate (p/kWh)</b> This calculates the unit rate that would apply for each tariff on an unrestricted basis and if there were no standing charges.

---

**Table 10 Yardsticks (Yard) calculations**

---

<b>2903– 2905</b>	<b>Pay-as-you-go unit rate 1–3 p/kWh</b> These tables calculates the unit rates that would apply for each tariff with more than one unit rate, if there were no standing charges
-----------------------	---

---

**Standing — Allocation to standing charges**

176. This sheet applies allocates costs between consumption-related charges and standing charges for demand tariffs which have either a £/kVA availability charge or a p/MPAN/day fixed charge that can used to cover assets at network levels close to the voltage of supply.

**Table 11 Allocation to standing charges (Standing) calculations**

---

<b>3001</b>	<b>Costs based on aggregate maximum load (£/kW/year)</b> This compiles £/kW/year cost information where unit costs are based on aggregate maximum load at each network level (i.e. taking account of asset diversity).
<b>3002</b>	<b>Capacity charges from standing charges factors</b> This calculates elements of capacity charges (p/kVA/day) that would apply if capacity charges were applicable.
<b>3003– 3006</b>	<b>Yardstick unit rate p/kWh and unit rate 1–3 (taking account of standing charges)</b> This applies the standing charge factors to unit rates calculated on the Yard sheet, to take account of costs that are being recovered from fixed or capacity charges rather than unit rates.

---

**NHH — Standing charges as fixed charges**

177. This sheet calculates the elements of fixed charges for non half hourly demand users that relate to network costs of the kind that would be charged through capacity charges for half hourly settled demand.

**Table 12 Standing charges as fixed charges (NHH) calculations**

---

<b>3101</b>	<b>Average maximum kVA/MPAN by end user class, for user classes without an agreed import capacity</b> This determines a factor to convert capacity-related costs to fixed charges in the case of tariffs without capacity charges.
<b>3102</b>	<b>Capacity-driven fixed charge elements from standing charges factors p/MPAN/day</b> This calculates p/MPAN/day elements of fixed charges based on capacity.

---

**Table 12 Standing charges as fixed charges (NHH) calculations**

---

<b>3103</b>	<b>Capacity used by LV users without an agreed capacity</b> This estimates the capacity used by non half hourly settled demand users, based on tariff-specific load factors provided as input data, and collects information on MPAN counts by tariff.
<b>3104</b>	<b>Aggregate data for LV users without agreed capacity for allocation of LV circuit costs</b> This aggregates table 3103 across all tariffs.
<b>3105</b>	<b>LV fixed charge elements from standing charges factors p/MPAN/day</b> This calculates charges in respect of the proportion of LV circuit costs to be recovered on the basis of exit points rather than capacity.
<b>3106</b>	<b>Fixed charge elements from standing charges factors</b> This combines capacity-driven fixed charge elements from table 3102 with exit point-driven fixed charge elements from table 3105.

---

**Reactive — Reactive power unit charges**

178. The Reactive sheet calculates charges for reactive power units.

**Table 13 Reactive power unit charges (Reactive) calculations**

---

<b>3201</b>	<b>Standard components p/kWh for reactive power (absolute value)</b> This takes the absolute value of p/kWh yardstick elements.
<b>3202</b>	<b>Standard reactive p/kVArh</b> This uses the kVAh/kVA factor for each network level to convert the p/kWh yardstick (which excludes costs recovered through capacity charges) into a p/kVArh charge element.
<b>3203</b>	<b>Network use factors for generator reactive unit charges</b> This table of network use factors reflects the fact that reactive units supplied from/to generators affect the capacity required at the voltage of supply, even though no active power credits are given in respect of this network level.
<b>3204</b>	<b>Absolute value of load coefficient (kW peak / average kW)</b> This takes the absolute value of the load coefficient for generation tariffs. All values in this table are 1 in the current version of the model (since load coefficients for generators are set to -1).
<b>3205</b>	<b>Pay-as-you-go components p/kWh for reactive power (absolute value)</b> This calculates p/kWh yardsticks, in absolute value, without any deduction for capacity charges (since the relevant tariffs are generation tariffs).

---

**Table 13 Reactive power unit charges (Reactive) calculations**

---

**3206 Pay-as-you-go reactive p/kVArh**

This uses the kVAh/kVA factor for each network level to convert the p/kWh yardstick from table 3205 into a p/kVArh charge element.

---

**Aggreg — Aggregation**

179. The Aggreg sheet calculates tariff components by aggregating elements from the sheets described above.

**Table 14 Aggregation (Aggreg) calculations**

---

**3301– Unit rate 1–3 p/kWh (elements)**

**3303** These tables collect unit rate elements from the Yard and Standing sheets.

**3304 Fixed charge p/MPAN/day (elements)**

This collects fixed charge elements from the SM, Otex and NHH sheets.

**3305 Capacity charge p/kVA/day (elements)**

This collects capacity charge elements from the Standing sheet.

**3306 Reactive power charge p/kVArh (elements)**

This collects reactive power unit charge elements from the Reactive sheet.

**3307 Summary of charges before revenue matching**

This aggregates the elements collected above.

---

**Revenue — Revenue shortfall or surplus**

180. This sheet calculates the shortfall or surplus to be recovered or absorbed through revenue matching.

**Table 15 Revenue shortfall or surplus (Revenue) calculations**

---

**3401 Net revenues by tariff before matching (£/year)**

This combines the tariff information with volume forecast data. For embedded network tariffs, the unit rates used at this stage are not adjusted for losses between end user and boundary meter, and the volume data used are therefore those that have been adjusted in the Loads sheet.

**3402 Target revenue**

This collects input data on target revenue to be recovered from the tariffs included in the model.

---

## Scaler — Revenue matching

181. This sheet calculates adjustments to tariff components needed for revenue matching.

**Table 16 Revenue matching (Scaler) calculations**

---

<b>3501</b>	<b>Factor to scale to £1/kW at transmission exit level; applicability factor for £1/kW scaler</b>
	This calculates the factor to be applied to the current transmission exit element of tariff components in order to estimate the impact of a £1/kW/year fixed adder at the transmission exit level.
<b>3503</b>	<b>Scalable elements of tariff components</b>
	This calculates the extent to which each tariff component (for demand tariffs only) would vary as a result of a £1/kW/year fixed adder.
<b>3504</b>	<b>Marginal revenue effect of scaler</b>
	This calculates, for each tariff, the impact on revenue of a £1/kW/year fixed adder.
<b>3505– 3509</b>	<b>Scaler value at which the minimum is breached; root if there were no constraints; starting point; solve a simple linear programming problem; general scaler rate</b>
	This calculates the fixed adder necessary to match the revenue target, taking account of the capping of tariff components to zero (no negative tariff components allowed for demand).
<b>3510</b>	<b>Scaler</b>
	This calculates the adjustment needed to each tariff component to absorb the revenue surplus or recover the revenue shortfall.

---

## Adjust — Tariff component adjustment and rounding

182. This sheet rounds tariff elements to the nearest value with no more than three decimal places in the case of unit rates in p/kWh and reactive power unit charges in p/kVArh, and no more than two decimal places in the case of other components.

183. These rounding calculations are only applied within the model to all-the-way charges. LDNO tariffs are rounded in the final tariff table through the use of Excel formatting.

**Table 17 Tariff component adjustment and rounding (Adjust) calculations**

---

<b>3601</b>	<b>Tariffs before rounding</b>
	This adds up the tariff components before matching, the matching element and the loss adjustment element.
<b>3602</b>	<b>Decimal places</b>
	This constant table specifies the number of decimal places to be used in rounding.

---

**Table 17 Tariff component adjustment and rounding (Adjust) calculations**

---

**3603 Tariff rounding**

This calculates the minimum adjustment to each tariff component needed to make it comply with the rounding rules.

**3604 All the way tariffs**

This calculates all-the-tariffs after rounding.

**3605 Net revenues by tariff from rounding**

This calculates, for each tariff, the effect on forecast revenues of the rounding adjustment.

**3606 Revenue forecast summary**

This summarises the impact of the Scaler and Adjust sheet on total revenues.

---